

THE SOCIETY OF NAVAL ARCHITECTS AND MARINE ENGINEERS

JOURNAL OF SHIP PRODUCTION
PAPER REVIEW EVALUATION SHEET

A *JOURNAL OF SHIP PRODUCTION* paper should be: Clear, concise, complete, and original, with technical merit and logic, with assumptions fully identified, with results presented with their uncertainty, with related work properly acknowledged/referenced, and with actual accomplishments of the work plainly stated and fairly appraised.

Reviewer _____ Date _____

Paper Title _____

Author(s) _____

Please complete review within 3 weeks of receipt. If you are unable to do a review, please return the paper

The Paper Profile section below is for the information of the Journal of Ship Production Committee only. The Reviewer's Comments section on the reverse side of this form will be transmitted to the author(s) if the paper is thought to be generally acceptable for publication. Reviewer identity will not be disclosed to the author(s).

PAPER PROFILE

Check the boxes that best describe the following features of the paper.

	Excellent	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Originality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
General Interest	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Technical Merit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Long-term Reference Value	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Completeness of the Reported Work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Timeliness	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Organization of the Paper	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clarity of Presentation, Tables & Figures	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reference to the Work of Others	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Yes	No
Does the technical treatment appear plausible and free of technical errors?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Have you checked the key equations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the paper free of commercialism?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

FOR PUBLICATION IN *JOURNAL OF SHIP PRODUCTION*

This paper is: (check only one place)

- Acceptable
- Acceptable with minor revisions*
- Acceptable with major revisions* (additional review required after revision)
- NOT ACCEPTABLE*

*Please elaborate in written comments on the next page.

JOURNAL OF SHIP PRODUCTION

REVIEWER'S COMMENTS

To assist the author in revising his/her paper, please separate your remarks into two sections, as appropriate: (1) Minor Revisions (Those suggestions which, in your opinion, would improve the quality of the manuscript but are not essential for publication.); (2) Major Revisions (Changes which in your judgment should be made before publication)/Reasons for Non-acceptance.

(Continue on additional pages if necessary, or, if more convenient, attach remarks on separate pages.)

(1) Minor Revisions:

(2) Major revisions/Reason for Non-acceptance:

Return Review to:

JOURNAL OF SHIP PRODUCTION

Richard Lee Storch
Industrial Engineering
Box 352650

University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195-2650
FAX: 206-685-3072

E-mail: rlstorch@u.washington.edu

Reviewer's Signature _____

Date _____

Reviewer's Telephone _____

Reviewer's Email Address _____

PLEASE COMPLETE BOTH PAGES OF THE REVIEW FORM AND KEEP A COPY FOR YOUR RECORDS